

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,115	12/23/2004	Renaud Dore	PF020081	9384
10/519,115 12/23/2004 Renaud Dore PF020081 24498 7590 05/22/2007 JOSEPH J. LAKS, VICE PRESIDENT THOMSON LICENSING LLC PATENT OPERATIONS PO BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312 MAIL DATE MAIL DATE DEL	EXAMINER			
	RANDON J			
	RATIONS	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,115	DORE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brandon J. Miller	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AND	ONTHEON OF THEFTY (90) PAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a red I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	December 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a aloue					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2004</u> is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	,	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
						2. Certified copies of the priority documen
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ransivad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a ils	t of the certified copies not	ieceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,115

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull (US 7,136,644 B2) in view of Norman et al. (US 7,082,535 B1).

Regarding claim 1 Hull teaches a method of creation of a new communication network by a wireless terminal (see col. 3, lines 32-36). Hull teaches a wireless terminal initially being part of an existing centralized network that includes an access point able to control the association of wireless terminals to its network (see col. 3, lines 11-22). Hull teaches initiation of a procedure for creating a new network including a declaration of the terminal as access point of the new network, where the operating parameters of the new network are such that communications on the new network do not interfere with the existing network (see col. 3, lines 32-52 and col. 5, lines 22-30). Hull does not specifically teach disassociation of the terminal from the network. Norman teaches dissociation of a terminal from a communication network (see col. 4, lines 46-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include disassociation of the terminal from the network because this would allow for an improved method for controlling service acquisition in a wireless local area network device.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 2 Norman teaches initiating disassociation in at least one of the following cases: frequency change rejection by the access point of the existing network following a request from the terminal; or connection establishment rejection be the access point of the existing network following a request from the terminal (see col. 4, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 3 Norman teaches wherein the access point of the existing network initiates the disassociation (see col. 4, lines 18-22).

Regarding claim 4 Hull teaches a wireless terminal including an interface with a communication medium, a microprocessor and a memory, which terminal additionally includes in its memory a program comprising a program adapted to perform instructions (see col. 5, lines 22-30). Hull teaches initiation of a procedure for creating a new network including a declaration a terminal as access point of a new network, where the operating parameters of the new network are such that communications on the new network do not interfere with the existing network (see col. 3, lines 32-52 and col. 5, lines 22-30). Hull does not specifically teach performing a disassociation of the terminal from the network. Norman teaches dissociation of a terminal from a communication network (see col. 4, lines 46-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include disassociation of the terminal from the network because this would allow for an improved method for controlling service acquisition in a wireless local area network device.

Regarding claim 5 Hull and Norman teach a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,115

Art Unit: 2617

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the network" in line 6. Because applicant discloses a "new communication network" and "an existing centralized network" earlier in the claim, it is unclear as to which network, "the network" is referring to. Therefore the claim is rendered indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the communication medium" in 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tejaswini et al. US 2005/0071476 A1 discloses an access point association history in wireless networks.

Jang et al. US 2005/0003856 A1 discloses a local communication system and method in wireless communication system.

Paredes et al. US 2002/0119683 A1 discloses a PC card configuration.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-7869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 14, 2007

GEORGE ENG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN